IFW



Docket No.: 5000-0144PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Jordi TORMO I BLASCO et al.

Application No.: 10/561,149

unon 110.. 10/301,143

Filed: December 16, 2005

For: FUNGICIDE MIXTURES

Confirmation No.: 9120

Art Unit: N/A

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Subsequent to the filing of the above-identified application on December 16, 2005, attached hereto is an English Translation of the International Preliminary Report on Patentability issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority. Please make this document of record for the above-identified application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: August 2, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East

P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

Attachment(s) PCT/IB/373, PCT/ISA/237

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000054650	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below			
International application No. PCT/EP2004/006647	International filing date (day/month/year) 19 June 2004 (19.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 26 June 2003 (26.06.2003)			
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237					
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT					

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).						
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.						
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.						
3.	3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the report					
	Box No. II	Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of inve	ention				
			under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial as and explanations supporting such statement				
	Box No. VI	Certain documents c	ited				
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the	e international application				
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations	on the international application				
4.			o designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but st under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority				
			Date of issuance of this report 01 May 2006 (01.05.2006)				
	The International Burn 34, chemin des Co 1211 Geneva 20, S	lombettes	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis				
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35			Telephone No. +41 22 338 89 70				
Form	PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)						

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Translation From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION 0000054650 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/EP2004/006647 19.06.2004 26.06.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Authorized officer

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006647

Вох	No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	-	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed nation, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional comments:
1		

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/006647

Box No.	II Prionty
1.	The following document has not yet been furnished:
	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
	translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date in the claimed priority date.
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43bis.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3. Add	ditional observations, if necessary:
:	
!	
l	·

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006647

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
ement			
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims		NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
	Claims		NO
	citations and explantement Novelty (N) Inventive step (IS)	citations and explanations supplement Novelty (N) Claims Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims Claims Claims Claims	citations and explanations supporting such statement Novelty (N) Claims $1-10$ Claims Inventive step (IS) Claims $1-10$ Claims Industrial applicability (IA) Claims $1-10$

2. Citations and explanations:

The present invention relates to a synergistic fungicidal mixture of (I) and (II) according to claim 1. D1 (EP0988790) describes more general synergistic fungicidal compositions, where a plurality of possible selections exist for the two components. However, the present invention is comprised by D1.

None of the examples of D1 describes the composition claimed. The present application satisfies the criterion in PCT Article 33(2) because the subject matter of claims 1-10 is novel in relation to the prior art as defined in the Regulations (PCT Rule 64.1-64.3).

Since D1 discloses a plurality of examples with relatively small differences in comparison with the present invention, it would be expected prima facie that the claimed composition has a synergistic effect. Even though synergism is considered as basically unpredictable, the expert would find sufficient information in D1 that certain variations would likewise have the desired effect. However, the present application contains comparative tests which employ the most similar

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/006647

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

explicitly mentioned compounds of D1. These tests demonstrate clearly that the selection made in accordance with the invention not only allows a synergistic effect to be obtained, but also an improved synergistic effect in comparison with the most similar compositions which can be found in D1. This improvement was unexpected. The present application satisfies the criterion in PCT Article 33(3) because the subject matter of claims 1-10 involves an inventive step (PCT Rule 65.1-65.2).

The present application satisfies the criterion in PCT Article 33(4) because the subject matter of claims 1-10 is considered industrially applicable.